

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/705,575	11/03/2000	Ernest L. Lawton	1596C9	6944	
7:	590 02/13/2003				
	MARK D. SWEET, ESQUIRE FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARET & DUNNER, LLP 1300 I STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER	
1300 I STREET				GRAY, JILL M	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3315		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774	17	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>			(Sm)			
		Application No.	Appli ant(s)	06.4			
		09/705,575	LAWTON ET AL.	我人			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jill M Gray	1774				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	ss			
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	N V IC CET TO EVDIDE 2 M	IONITH(S) EDOM				
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a population of the provision of t	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a leply within the statutory minimum of thing will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>6 November 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is			
· _	ion of Claims	analiantian					
4)凶	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
د، اسا	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-17,26-32 and 3</u>	15-37 Is/are withdrawn from	consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-11,18-25 and 32-34</u> is/are rej	jectea.					
7)[_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers	d/or election requirement.					
	-	nor					
•	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
الــا(١٥	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on						
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	.g., p.,,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.				
	Copies of the certified copies of the praphication from the International I	riority documents have beer	··	ge			
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received.				
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional app	plication).			
	a) The translation of the foreign language parts. The translation of the foreign language parts. The translation is made of a claim for domes.	•					
Attachmer	nt(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-15				

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claim 5 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Pollet et al, 5,024,890, 5,312,687, and 5,387,468. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow. Accordingly, the election of species of Paper 13 still stands.

The rejection of claims 1-2, 9-11, 18-25, and 32-33 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barber, Jr. et al, 5,460,883 (Barber) is moot in view of applicants' amendment.

The rejection of claims 1-4, 18-21, 24-25 and 32-34 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Philips et al, 3,312,569 is most in view of applicants' amendment.

The rejection of claim 1-3, 6-9, 18, 21-25, and 32-34 is moot in view of applicants' amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 3. Claims 1-2, 18-21, 24-25, and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pollet et al, 5,024,890, 5,312,687, and 5,387,468 (hereinafter referred to collectively as Pollet).

Pollet teaches size compositions for impregnating glass fibers comprising thermoplastic polymer resin powder. In addition, the composition contains a film

Art Unit: 1774

, Control Hambon: Con Co, C

forming material as set forth by claim 21, reactive diluent as required by claims 24-25, and lubricious material as required by claim 20. See for example, '890, abstract and column 2, lines 45-49. Moreover, Pollet teaches that the powdered coating can contain organic or inorganic particulates that can be either pre-combined with the polymer so that each powder particles contains polymer and filler, or be added separately as a powder. See for example '890, column 4, lines 11-18. Pollet teaches that his composition comprises greater than 20 weight percent of particles, and that the amount of particles can be present in an amount ranging from 20-80 weight percent, or from 50 to 60 weight percent as required by applicants in claims 18 and 19. See for example '890, column 3, lines 14-38. It should be noted that the language of particles embraces thermoplastic resin powder.

Therefore, the teachings of Pollet anticipate the invention as claimed in the present claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 6-11, 22-23, and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pollet et al, (cumulative), as applied above to claims 1-2, 18-21, 24-25 and 32-33, in view of Barber, Jr. et al, 5,460,883 (Barber) and Jia 6,270,562 B1.

Art Unit: 1774

Pollet is as applied above but is silent as to the specific inorganic particles and glass fibers. Barber teaches filaments comprising a core at least partially coated with a coating composition comprising greater than 20 weight percent particles. See column 21, lines 24-28, further teaching plural coating layers as required by claims 22-23. See column 20, lines 39-58. In addition, Barber teaches that the particles can be boron nitride as required by claims 9-11. See column 21, line 16. As to the Moh's hardness as required by claims 3, 22, 32, and 34.

It would have been obvious to use as the inorganic particles taught by Pollet any inorganic particulate material known in the art, such as any of those taught by Barber and in particular, boron nitride, with the reasonable expectation of obtaining an abrasive filament or article. As to the specific type of glass fibers used set forth in claims 6-8, it is the examiner's position that glass fibers of the type contemplated by applicants are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Jia, cited to show the state of the art, whereby it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to choose any of the various types with the reasonable expectation of success of obtaining a suitable glass fiber strand.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-11, 18-25, and 32-34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M Gray whose telephone number is 703.308.2381. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 703.308.0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.5408 for regular communications and 703.305.3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0651.

Examiner ` Art Unit 1774

jmg February 10, 2003